

Sr. No.	Section	Clause in Tender/ Corrigendum	Brief Description of Clause	Reference Page No in Tender/ Corrigendum	Query	Comments
1	Corrigendum 5	Network Management Requirements	The Requirement is deleted	Page 1 - Sr. No 6	If NMS specifications are deleted then you are expecting to vendor EMS to act as complete Umbrella NMS as well as FCAPS solution for OSS - Which can not be the case since no EMS from switching vendor are built to comply and act as primary or umbrella NMS in case there are multiple EMS instances of the same vendor.	Vedndor EMS will only manage their respective domain. We are expecting the Umbrella NMS functionality to be supported in the OSS Platform and is already included in the requirements under 'General Requirements' section of OSS
2	Corrigendum 5	Network Management Requirements	The Requirement is deleted	Page 1 - Sr. No 6	Sr. No 539 in Pre-bid query response, you are asking 50000 licenses, If you are expecting EMS to act as NMS as well then no vendor EMS will be able to serve even 10000 devices from a single instance with 1 year data retention both at SNMP log and trap data for reporting - You will always need manager of manager for SLA tracking and data analytics from multiple EMS instances. That is why you need NMS	We have not asked for licenses but we wanted the system to be able to manage those many devices. NMS functionality need to be supported in OSS Platform as per present corrigendum also not the EMS
3	Corrigendum 5	Network Management Requirements	The Requirement is deleted	Page 1 - Sr. No 6	Sr. No 540 in Pre-bid query response, you are asking NMS should act as MoM for all the underlying EMSs of customer, if this Requirement is deleted then centralized SLA tracking, historical reporting on SNMP trap as well as syslog messages will not be possible at all	As the MOM functionality is now shifted to OSS, the respective functionality now need to be supported by OSS. Point number 1 in Corrigendum 5 under OSS
4	Corrigendum 5	Network Management Requirements	The Requirement is deleted	Page 1 - Sr. No 6	In high availability environment as a best practice multipl EMS from same vendor sends data to centralized NMS for Fault and Performance monitoring as well as service assurance - NMS in turn replicates data to it's high availability reporting db instances for accurate SLA tracking - If this requirement stays deleted then high availability reporing will also be a problem and this could turn into disaster	This is already covered in OSS Platform as the general requirements point number 2
5	Corrigendum 4	Performance Management System	The database shall be based on Oracle 12 relational databases.		There are many FCAPS solution which comes with their own Datastore - now a days performance data also gets stored in Time-series as well as no-sql based datastore for longer data retention as well as lower TCO - This clause should be deleted	This is already addressed in Corrigendum 5.
6	Corrigendum 4	Network Management System Requirements	NMS must be an industry standard, enterprise grade COTS (Commercially of the Shelf) product that has at least 2 deployments in Indian Government/ Public Sector/Telecom Sector, monitoring & managing 5000 network nodes spread across multiple domains(IP/MPLS, DWDM, GPON). Same Proposed NMS should be able to Manage any existing customer nodes which are not part of this RFP also. Names of products must be mentioned at the time of bidding	Section 11.1.5 Sr.No 6	We are global Indian OEM with multiple OEM customer in OSS/BSS/NMS space. We are deployed on 70+ telecom networks across the globe but a white lable solution - Request you consider global our experience and change/delete or modify the clause to make this level playing field for us being a Indian company - Or consider OEM orders in telecom space as well for the experience	Not relevant as the requirement is already removed. If the NMS is only managing one domain of network element it would more or less mean that it is only doing EMS functionality.
7	Corrigendum 5	Core Switch	RFC 2461 Neighbor Discovery for IPv6	Section 11.11	RFC 2461 is obsolete & updated by RFC 4861. Suggest Changing the clause to support RFC 4861 for Router mode.	RFC 2461/ RFC 4861 Neighbor Discovery for IPv6 or the latest updated RFC
8	Corrigendum 5	Core Switch	RFC 2462 Stateless Address Auto-configuration	Section 11.11	RFC 2462 is obsolete & updated by RFC 4862. Suggest Changing the clause to support RFC 4862 for Router mode	RFC 2462 / RFC 4862 IPv6 Stateless Address Auto-configuration or the latest updated RFC
9	Corrigendum 5	Core Switch	RFC 2463 ICMPv6	Section 11.11	RFC 2463 is obsolete & updated by RFC 4884. Suggest Changing the clause to support RFC 4884 or the latest updated RFC	RFC 2463 / RFC 4884 ICMPv6 or the latest updated RFC